



RESEARCH CONFERENCES

ESF-EMBO Symposium

Three Dimensional Sensory and Motor Space: Perceptual Consequences of Motor Action

Hotel Eden Roc, Sant Feliu de Guixols (Costa Brava) • Spain 6-11 October 2007

Chair: Jeroen Smeets, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, NL Vice-Chair: Frank Bremmer, University Marburg, DE

www.esf.org/conferences/07226



www.esf.org

Supported by ESF, EMBO, Elsevier, Northern Digital, SR-Research, IBRO

Programme

Saturday, 6 October

Late afternoon / early evening	Registration at the ESF desk
19.00	Welcome Drink
20.00	Dinner

Sunday, 7 October

08.45-09.00	Conference Opening
1	Eye and body Chair: Markus Lappe
09.00-09.50	Michael Land University of Sussex Eye movements and actions: knowing where to look.
09.50-10.40	John Wann Royal Holloway University of London Neural systems in the control of steering and collision judgments
10.40-11.10	Coffee break
11.10-12.00	Carol Colby University of Pittsburgh Active Vision
12.00-12.50	Tirin Moore Stanford University The Influence of Overt and Covert Saccade Plans on Visual Cortical Signals.
13.00	Lunch
	Poster Viewing
16.00-16.30	Coffee
2	Eye Chair: Eli Brenner
16.30-17.20	Frank Bremmer Philipps-University Marburg Space representation during eye movements
17.20-18.10	Dirk Kerzel Université de Genève Localization of moving objects
19.00	Dinner
20.30-22.00	Poster session 1

Monday, 8 October

3	Hand Chair: Denise Henriques
09.00-09.50	Susan Lederman Queen's University Manual exploration and haptic object processing
09.50-10.40	Jeroen Smeets Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Hand movements in search
10.40-11.10	Coffee break
11.10-12.00	Mary Hayhoe University of Texas at Austin The role of internal visual models and anticipation in the control of movement
12.00-12.50	Elisabetta Làdavas University of Bologna Tool use and the dynamic properties of the visual peripersonal space
13.00	Lunch
	Poster Viewing
16.00-16.30	Coffee
4	Hand and body Chair: Chris Miall
16.30-17.20	Denise Henriques York University Updating and integrating spatial information for motor control
17.20-18.10	Pieter Medendorp Nijmegen Institute for Cognition and Information Spatial updating during whole-body movements
19.00	Dinner
20.30-22.00	Poster session 2

Tuesday, 9 October

5	Body Chair: John Wann
09.00-09.50	David Lee University of Edinburgh A theory of neural guidance of movement based on tau
09.50-10.40	Laila Craighero University of Ferrara Role of the motor system in the orienting of attention
10.40-11.10	Coffee break
11.10-12.00	Kevin O'Regan Université Paris 5 Descartes Empirical confirmations of a sensorimotor approach to phenomenal feel
12.30	Lunch
Afternoon	Half-day excursion
19.00	Dinner
20.00-21.00	Forward Look Plenary Discussion

Wednesday, 10 October

6	Saccades Chair: Frank Bremmer
09.00-09.50	Eli Brenner Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Temporal uncertainty and pre-saccadic mislocalisation
09.50-10.40	Shigeru Kitazawa Juntendo University Reversal of subjective temporal order due to eye and hand movements
10.40-11.10	Coffee break
11.10-12.00	Markus Lappe Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Perceptual consequences of saccadic adaptation
12.00-12.50	David Melcher University of Trento Evidence for predictive changes in visual perception that precedes saccadic eye movements
13.00	Lunch

7	Hand Chair: Jeroen Smeets
16.00-16.50	Roland Johansson ^{Umeå University} Perceptual consequences of motor action determine prime actor in bimanual object manipulations
16.50-17.20	Coffee Break
17.20-18.10	Chris Miall University of Birmingham Motor-visual priming and visuo-motor interference
18.10-19.00	Knut Drewing Justus-Liebig-Universität Exploratory movement matters for the integration of redundant signals to haptic shape
20.00	Get-together & Conference Dinner

Thursday, 11 October

8	Brain Chair: Pieter Medendorp
09.00-9.50	Laure Pisella Inserm U 534 Related visuo-manual and visuo-perceptual deficits in optic ataxia
9.50-10.40	Angela Sirigu Institut des Sciences Cognitives Movement illusion in patients with central or peripheral lesions
10.40-11.10	Coffee break
12.30	Lunch & Departure

3. Antoine Henry Pascal Morice

UPRES EA 4042 lab. «Contrôle Moteur et Perception», Univ Paris Sud 11, 91405 Orsay, France

Embodied perception of gravity when bouncing a ball: proposal for the use of a new invariant

Morice, A.H.P.; Siegler, I.A.; Amorim, M.A.; Baures R.; Benguigui, N.

These are some evidence that, while observing moving objects, kinematics conveys information about the dynamics of movement. Whether this perception is direct or based on heuristics is still under debate. Here, we provide evidence that interacting with objects and observing the visual consequences of action improves perception of dynamical invariants such as gravity eigenvalue (9.81m/s²). During the "on-line" session, participants were asked to bounce a virtual table tennis ball at a target height by manually controlling a virtual racket. During the "playback" session, they passively observed previously recorded "on-line" bounces. In both sessions, participants compared the acceleration of the ball to the terrestrial gravity acceleration. Gravity fields varied from 1 to 18 m/s² using a staircase method. Results show that perception of gravity is more accurate and less variable during the "on-line" than during the "playback" session. Moreover, when exposed to abnormal gravity values, participants quickly learned how to perform the suitable action. At last, our results suggest that the judgement of participants about gravity is based on the relationship linking the velocity of the racket at impact with the ball drop. This proposal can explain why participants are worse in the estimation of gravity during the "playback" than during the "on-line" session. Indeed, the estimation of the racket velocity can be more difficult during passive observation than during on-line manipulation. These results support the use by participants of an efference copy allowing them to estimate the features of the virtual environment by analysing the visual consequences of their actions.

4. Chris Muller Human Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Netherlands

Maybe They Are All Circles

Humans judge surface slant from a weighted average of cues, with more reliable cues receiving more weight. Cues that provide more precise estimates are obviously more reliable, but many cues also rely on assumptions about the statistics of the world. For instance, many monocular slant cues rely on the assumption that the surface in question is isotropic. Is the possibility that this assumption is incorrect considered when assigning weights to the cues? Are only the statistics of scenes in general considered, or also specific information from the scene in question? We asked subjects to match the slant of an elliptical target (with monocular and binocular cues indicating slightly different slants) by setting the slant of a large surrounding surface. To strengthen the assumption that the (textured) target was isotropic (circular) it was rotating without its outline changing and the surrounding surface consisted of rotating circles. For comparison we presented static targets surrounded by rotating ellipses with various aspect ratios. Quite surprisingly, we did not find significant effects of the introduced manipulations.